

RESOURCE LETTER

Resource Letters are guides for college and university physicists, astronomers, and other scientists to literature, websites, and other teaching aids. Each Resource Letter focuses on a particular topic and is intended to help teachers improve course content in a specific field of physics or to introduce nonspecialists to this field. The Resource Letters Editorial Board meets at the AAPT Winter Meeting to choose topics for which Resource Letters will be commissioned during the ensuing year. Items in the Resource Letter below are labeled with the letter E to indicate elementary level or material of general interest to persons seeking to become informed in the field, the letter I to indicate intermediate level or somewhat specialized material, or the letter A to indicate advanced or specialized material. No Resource Letter is meant to be exhaustive and complete; in time there may be more than one Resource Letter on a given subject. A complete list by field of all Resource Letters published to date is at the website www.kzoo.edu/ajp/letters.html. Suggestions for future Resource Letters, including those of high pedagogical value, are welcome and should be sent to Professor Roger H. Stuewer, Editor, AAPT Resource Letters, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, 116 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455; e-mail: rstuewer@physics.umn.edu

Resource Letter PS-2: Physics of Sports

Cliff Frohlich

Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78758-4445

(Received 14 October 2010; accepted 3 January 2011)

This Resource Letter provides a guide to the literature on the physics of sports, updating Resource Letter PS-1, published 25 years ago (Ref. 17). The intent is to suggest literature for anyone curious about the basic physics of particular sports, for physics teachers searching for sports examples to augment their teaching, and for physicists contemplating research on unsolved sports-related questions. © 2011 American Association of Physics Teachers.

[DOI: 10.1119/1.3552157]

I. INTRODUCTION

An astonishing fraction of the world's population exhibits interest in sporting events. Somewhere between 500 million to 1 billion people watched the live television coverage of the 2008 Olympic Games opening ceremonies and the 2006 and 2010 World Cup soccer finals. In most cultures, participation in organized sporting activities is widespread, e.g., the U.S. Census Bureau's *2010 Statistical Abstract* indicates that more than 40 million Americans bowl, more than 20 million play basketball and golf, and more than 10 million participate in soccer, tennis, baseball, softball, and volleyball. There is increasing awareness that regular strenuous exercise, often in the form of social activities such as games or team sports, is an important component for maintaining health in individuals of all ages.

Yet, while media coverage of sports is pervasive and literature describing sporting activities is vast, even for popular sports it is sometimes difficult to find comprehensive descriptions of the underlying physics. Several different varieties of scientists regularly analyze physics-related aspects of sports and each has different strengths and limitations.

- (1) Researchers in *physical education* or *kinesiology* often concentrate on the practical aspects of training and performance. Physics is often not the central focus of these analyses; however, these researchers are often athletes or interact regularly with athletes and thus have a relatively sophisticated understanding of the activity.
- (2) *Biomechanics* researchers often investigate subjects such as the mechanics of joints, bone fractures, or motion in general. One common experimental approach is to attach reflecting markers to an athlete's joints and then film an activity using a strategically placed array of synchro-

nized digital cameras. Specialized software then provides a digital record of the position of the athlete's body segments, frame by frame. These studies provide information about what actually happens in an athletic activity and make it possible to accurately calculate forces and torques on athletes and their individual body segments.

- (3) *Mechanical engineers* occasionally undertake analyses of sports or sports equipment. Sometimes the objective is to enhance performance, as in the design of tennis rackets; sometimes the objective is to improve safety, as in the design of helmets for cycling or for American football.
- (4) Finally, *physicists* sometimes publish papers about sports. Often, sports phenomena are used as examples for teaching physics or for motivating student interest in physics. Some of these articles are authored by scientists who have never been serious participants in the sport being analyzed; this can create a situation where coaches or athletes find that the underlying assumptions about a sporting activity are inaccurate or highly simplified. Moreover, some articles authored by physicists make no effort to use technical language familiar to participants of the sport, making these articles difficult for enthusiasts to comprehend.

The literature on the physics of ten-pin bowling, a sport I took up in midlife, offers examples of these problems. Prior to my article in this journal (Ref. 79), most then-available bowling physics articles assumed that friction is constant over a bowling lane and that a bowling ball is a uniform sphere with a center of mass coinciding with the center of the sphere; any serious bowler knows these assumptions matter and are simply untrue. Publications by mechanical engineers

did make realistic assumptions but failed to address directly a fundamental question: What is the primary reason bowling balls curve on their path to the pins? Also, finally, the literature written by bowlers and ball drillers does not use physics-familiar terms such as “torque,” “angular momentum,” or “moments of inertia,” but instead uses a specialized technical language unique to bowling to describe how mass offsets affect ball trajectory. To address this, my article included a table defining key physics and bowling terms in both languages—a Rosetta stone of physics patois and bowling-speak.

There are lessons here: If you aspire to perform research on sports and be taken seriously by both physicists and enthusiasts, pick a sport you know very well, either as a serious participant or life-long aficionado, or else acquire such a person as a coauthor. Otherwise, you will miss a lot and may blunder. Also, be careful not to underestimate coaches. The best coaches are wonderful observers of the subtle features of athletic activity. They may not always understand physics but they know exactly what happens. Woe to the physicist who ignores what they say they see.

As asserted in Resource Letter PS-1 (Ref. 17), the physical factors that underlie many well-known sporting activities are subject to ongoing debate in the literature and deserve research attention. Most of the reasons why this persists today—even though the relevant physics is classical—also haven’t changed since 1986.

- Many familiar sports (baseball, soccer, American football, and basketball) are relatively young, having developed into a form recognizable to a modern enthusiast only in the late 1800s or early 1900s;
- The widespread association of sports with schools and universities, the present-day concepts of eligibility, and the distinction between amateur and professional activities developed mostly after World War I; the intense and highly technical approach to training athletes developed only after World War II;
- For individual sporting events, the depth of research analysis varies widely depending on social and economic factors and, in some sports, on rules which arbitrarily specify properties of the equipment. Thus, there has been more research on tennis and golf, both sports historically having educated and affluent enthusiasts and requiring rackets or clubs where the rules permit considerable variation, and less research on soccer and basketball, sports traditionally popular with the working classes and requiring equipment with narrowly defined properties.

However, since 1986, several things have changed. First, inexpensive digital video cameras are now easily obtainable and nearly every household contains a laptop or desktop computer nearly as powerful as the university mainframe computers of yesteryear. Second, the internet, search engines, and the ongoing transformation of library collections to electronic form have made it far easier to discover what is known about a vast range of topics, including sport science. These revolutions allow individuals the opportunity to disseminate information about popular subjects, with the result that numerous websites, blogs, and self-published books are now available, most which haven’t undergone peer review.

Third, today there are vastly more sports science books

intended for elementary, high-school, and introductory college-level students. Many of these are obviously aimed at a popular audience, as they have titles like *The Physics of Football: Discover the Science of Bone-Crunching Hits, Soaring Field Goals, and Awe-Inspiring Passes* (Ref. 102). Much of this literature has a short life and fails to find its way into college libraries. Some of these books are frustrating if you are seriously interested in sports, as often their focus isn’t really analysis of sports but rather teaching or raising enthusiasm for basic science. Others are marketed specifically for enthusiasts of particular sports and contain little or no in-depth scientific analysis; *The Physics of NASCAR* (Ref. 226), for example, contains (count ‘em) no equations and, frankly, very little physics. However, a few elementary books are simply wonderful, e.g., check out *The Physics of Hockey* (Ref. 209), *The Physics of Rugby* (Ref. 100), or *Physics of Sailing* (Ref. 198).

Fourth, since 1986, there have been some subtle changes in the focus of serious sports physics literature. For example, the literature now includes numerous articles about how the details of ball-bat or ball-racquet and ball-ground interactions affect play in sports such as baseball, tennis, and golf. These articles demonstrate that the interactions are highly nonlinear and one shouldn’t ignore ball spin, variations in surface friction, or the vibrational properties of the striking implements. Also, whereas the literature prior to 1986 focused almost exclusively on Olympic and major sports, subsequent articles have appeared on numerous more exotic activities such as bungee jumping (Refs. 242, 243, and 245), atlatl (spear) throwing (Ref. 236), and sepaktakraw (Ref. 230).

Fifth, since 1986, several academic disciplines besides physics have focused increased attention on sports-related problems, with the result that the boundaries between sport-research disciplines are increasingly blurred. For physicists, one area where this blurring provides an opportunity involves questions that traditionally belong to the social sciences, especially economics and sociology. Semipopular books such as *Soccernomics* (Ref. 101) and *Baseball Between the Numbers: Why Everything You Know About the Game is Wrong* (Ref. 29) ask questions such as: Are the more successful World Cup soccer teams from rich countries or poor countries, after correcting for other variables? In baseball, does team success depend more strongly on batting average, on-base percentage, or home runs? These aren’t physics questions, but answering them involves modeling and the statistical analysis of messy data sets, both familiar activities for physicists. Both researchers and physics teachers should consider visiting or crossing this physics-social science boundary. Researchers will find that a physicist’s intellectual tools are perfect for numerous sports-related questions. Also, teachers should agree that the practice of questioning common knowledge—and then designing tests to address these questions—is an essential element of what we teach science students at all levels. You might ask yourself if you are interested in sports primarily to find interesting physics or to answer interesting questions. If the latter is true, you might consider tackling some nonphysics questions. Because some physicists might find these nonphysics questions interesting, I have included a few such publications in the lists that follow.

Finally, one of my sons is presently a history-of-science graduate student. As I observe how that field progresses, I suggest that some analyses of the history of sports physics

might be fruitful. To that end I have included a few references to publications of purely historical interest, such as Newton's 1671 paper on light and tennis balls (Ref. 167), and Coriolis's 1835 monograph on billiards (Ref. 75).

The list of books and articles below is in no way complete; rather, my intent was to include representative examples of the variety and level of materials that are available for different sporting activities. Within each sport category, I list books before journal articles, with entries in reverse chronological order.

I have not attempted to compile a comprehensive list of online websites, as these are of varying quality and often disappear or acquire new web addresses over time periods like one or two years. Nevertheless, web searches are worth the trouble as they often turn up videos that are highly enlightening and not readily available elsewhere. As this is written, Rod Cross maintains a website with material on a variety of sports (<http://www.physics.usyd.edu.au/~cross/>). Baseball enthusiasts will enjoy the sites of Alan Nathan (<http://webusers.npl.illinois.edu/~a-nathan/pob>) and Dan Russell (<http://paws.kettering.edu/~drussell/bats.html>). Readers with interest in billiards should visit the site of David Alciatore (<http://billiards.colostate.edu>).

II. PERIODICALS

American Journal of Physics
European Journal of Physics

Both these journals publish articles aimed at physics teachers and individuals interested in the “cultural aspects of physics” and occasionally include sports articles.

Engineering of Sport
International Journal of Sports Science and Engineering
Sports Engineering

These periodicals all focus on sports from an engineering perspective. *Engineering of Sport* is not a journal, but a series of volumes presenting papers from biennial conferences of the International Sports Engineering Association (ISEA); *Sports Engineering* is a journal published by the ISEA. Some articles in these periodicals are well worth the attention of physicists interested in current research on a broad variety of sports, including baseball, climbing, cycling, football, golf, gymnastics, lawn sports, snow sports, tennis, and water sports. See especially **Engineering of Sport 7**, edited by M. Estivalet and P. Brisson (Springer, Paris, 2008); **Engineering of Sport 6**, edited by E. F. Moritz and S. Haake (Springer, New York, 2006); **Engineering of Sport 5**, edited by M. Hubbard, R. D. Mehta, and J. M. Pallis (International Sports Engineering Association, Sheffield, 2004); and **Engineering of Sport 4**, edited by S. Ujihashi and S. Haake (Blackwell, Malden, 2004).

Sports Technology

This journal was new in 2008 and has attracted some excellent articles concerning both equipment and performance in many different sports.

International Journal of Computer Science in Sport
Journal of Applied Biomechanics (formerly International Journal of Sport Biomechanics)
Journal of Biomechanics
Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport
Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise

There are occasional sports physics articles in these journals, but more often they focus on other issues such as the performance aspects of sports, sports injuries, or kinesiology.

Physics Teacher
Physics World

Both of these journals occasionally publish elementary and popular articles about sports—*Physics Teacher* from an American perspective and *Physics World* from a European perspective.

III. TEXTBOOKS AND MULTISPORT COMPILATIONS

1. **Gold Medal Physics: The Science of Sports**, J. E. Goff (Johns Hopkins U. P., Baltimore, 2010). This book discusses a wide variety of sports, using only elementary equations. (E)
2. **Projectile Dynamics in Sports: Principles and Applications**, C. White (Routledge, London, 2010). This book includes some problem sets and many worked examples, covering field events as well as baseball, cricket, football, golf, rugby, soccer, and tennis. Although it might serve as an intermediate-level mechanics textbook, it assumes a technical knowledge of sports that is unusual for a mechanics text. An appealing feature is that it reviews strategy and historical aspects of many sporting activities. (I)
3. **An Introduction to Biomechanics of Sport and Exercise**, J. Watkins (Elsevier, Edinburgh, 2007). This is an introductory biomechanics textbook, with mostly sports examples, intended for physical education majors. (E)
4. **Spinning Flight: Dynamics of Frisbees, Boomerangs, Samaras, and Skipping Stones**, R. D. Lorenz (Springer, New York, 2006). The author is an aerospace engineer and this book treats a host of flying objects that haven't gotten much attention elsewhere. (I)
5. **Anthology of Statistics in Sports**, edited by J. Albert, J. Bennett, and J. J. Cochran (Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2005). This is not a physics book, but a compilation of papers by statisticians about many different sports. (I)
6. **Notational Analysis of Sport (Second edition)**, M. Hughes and I. M. Franks (Routledge, London, 2004). Coaches, researchers, or fans interested in analysis of strategy in team and some individual sports all can benefit from concise play-by-play summaries of game events. This book reviews how to construct notations to accomplish this, with illustrations from sports familiar to any British fan. This book isn't about physics *per se*, but is a useful starting place for any scientist interested in quantitative analysis of sporting strategy. (I)

- 7. Materials in Sports Equipment**, edited by M. Jenkins (Woodhead, Cambridge, U.K., 2003). Each chapter discusses material science issues affecting different sporting equipment, including balls and field implements, bicycles, golf clubs, mountaineering equipment, protective gear, shoes, ski equipment, and tennis rackets. (I)
- 8. The Dynamics of Sports: Why That's the Way the Ball Bounces (Fourth edition)**, D. F. Griffing (Dalog, Oxford, OH, 1999). This is a textbook for an introductory precalculus physics course with a sports theme. (E)
- 9. Sports Science Projects: The Physics of Balls in Motion**, M. P. Goodstein (Enslow, Berkeley Heights, 1999). This is one of a series of books by the same author suggesting science-fair projects for elementary and secondary school students. This book focuses on projects involving baseballs, basketballs, footballs, golf balls, and tennis balls. (E)
- 10. Computer Modeling: From Sports to Spacecraft... From Order to Chaos**, J. M. A. Danby (Willman-Bell, Richmond, 1997). This book is a textbook introducing numerical computational methods for solving differential equations, with chapters focusing on examples from sports as well as biology, economics, and various familiar physical systems. (I)
- 11. Biomechanics of Sports Techniques (Fourth edition)**, J. G. Hay (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1993). This is the last-published edition of a classic introductory college textbook on sport biomechanics; it presents analysis and references on many topics. (E)
- 12. The Physics of Sports**, edited by A. Armenti (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1992). This is a compilation of 57 classic sports physics articles, with the editor's insightful commentary on the articles in each sports group. Any physicist contemplating research on sports should own this book. (I)
- 13. The Mathematics of Projectiles in Sport**, N. DeMestre (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, U.K., 1991). This material was prepared for undergraduate mathematics students. The book develops a sports-appropriate mathematical framework and set of differential equations and then applies them to various sports. (I)
- 14. Modeling with Projectiles**, D. Hart and T. Croft (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1988). This book is aimed at introductory college physics students and uses sports-related projectile motion questions as a framework for developing problem-solving skills. There are numerous worked and unworked problems as well as colorful historical examples. (E/I)
- 15. Biomechanics of Sport**, C. L. Vaughan (CRC, Boca Raton, 1988). This is a collection of review articles with extensive reference lists on the biomechanics of running, swimming, rowing, skating, weight lifting, track and field, skiing, tennis, and cycling. (I)
- 16. A Bibliography of Biomechanics Literature (Fifth edition)**, J. G. Hay (Iowa City, 1987). This is a collection of several thousand references to journal articles and dissertations on biomechanics, organized by sport and by subject. James Hay was a pioneering teacher-researcher in the field of biomechanics, and before search engines and the internet this bibliography was an essential resource for any serious sports researcher. Today it is still valuable as it includes references to many classic publications. (I)
- 17. Resource letter PS-1: Physics of sports**, C. Frohlich, *Am. J. Phys.* **54**, 590–593 (1986). This is the first edition of the present Resource Letter. (E)
- 18. Mathematics in Sport**, M. S. Townend (Halsted, New York, 1984). This is an elementary physics analysis of many activities and sports, originally written as a text for a mathematics course for undergraduate physical-education majors. (E)
- 19. SportScience: Physical Laws and Optimum Performance**, P. J. Brancazio (Simon and Schuster, New York, 1984). This is a wonderful book aimed at the student who has had an introductory physics course, but with a reference list if he or she gets more serious. (E)
- 20. The Physics of Ball Games**, C. B. Daish (English U. P., London, 1972). This is a classic, one of the earliest book-length monographs on sports physics, focusing especially on golf, cricket, and billiards. In most printings, this book was published in two separate volumes. It is hard to find, even in good libraries, and ought to be reprinted. (I)
- 21. Young Scientist and Sports**, G. Barr (Whittlesey House, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962); republished with the title **Sports Science for Young People** (Dover, New York, 1990). Written for children, this is a very elementary discussion of how physics affects various aspects of baseball, football, and basketball. (E)

IV. ARTICLES ABOUT PARTICULAR SPORTS

A. Archery

- 22. "Bow and catapult internal dynamics,"** M. Denny, *Eur. J. Phys.* **24**, 367–378 (2003). (I)
- 23. "Bow and arrow dynamics,"** W. C. Marlow, *Am. J. Phys.* **49**, 320–333 (1981). (I)
- 24. "An optimally designed archery,"** T.-C. Soong, in **Mechanics and Sport, AMD Vol. 4**, edited by J. L. Bleustein (American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1973), pp. 85–100. (A)
- 25. "Ballistics of the modern-working recurve bow and arrow,"** B. G. Schuster, *Am. J. Phys.* **37**, 364–373 (1969). (I)
- 26. "Physics of bow and arrows,"** P. E. Klopsteg, *Am. J. Phys.* **11**, 175–192 (1943). (E)
- 27. "The dynamics of a bow and arrow,"** C. N. Hickman, *J. Appl. Phys.* **8**, 404–409 (1937). (I)

B. Baseball and Cricket

- 28. Physics of Baseball and Softball**, R. Cross (Springer, New York, 2011). This book is destined to be the ultimate reference, supplanting all others, concerning bats, balls, and bat-ball interaction. Americans will be appalled to learn that the author, Rod Cross, is Australian. (I)
- 29. Baseball Between the Numbers: Why Everything You Know About the Game is Wrong**, edited by J. Keri (Basic Books, New York, 2006). This is not a physics book, but there is plenty of straightforward data analysis to debunk many commonly held beliefs about the game. (E)
- 30. The Physics of Baseball (Third Edition)**, R. K. Adair (Perennial, New York, 2002). This book came about when Bart Giamatti, then Commissioner of Baseball and formally a Yale faculty member, asked Adair, a Yale

physicist, to weigh in on various science issues that affected baseball. Unfortunately, this book is too technical for most of the public and frustrating for the scientist because Adair doesn't include an adequate reference list and incorporates almost no research published after about 1990. (E)

31. **Keep Your Eye on the Ball: Curveballs, Knuckleballs and Fallacies of Baseball (Second edition)**, R. G. Watts and A. T. Bahill (Freeman, New York, 2000). Although the authors state this book is "written for the inquiring lay person or fan," it makes a more comprehensive effort to use introductory physics than many books about popular sports. An unusual feature is a chapter describing Bahill's research concerning human physical limitations on the visual tracking of pitched baseballs, which has huge implications for some common myths about hitting. (E)
32. "Effects of altitude and atmospheric conditions on the flight of a baseball," A. T. Bahill, D. G. Baldwin, and J. S. Ramberg, *Int. J. Sports Sci. Eng.* **3**, 109–128 (2009). (I)
33. "Cricket balls: Construction, non-linear visco-elastic properties, quality control and implications for the game," F. K. Fuss, *Sports Tech.* **1**, 41–55 (2008). (I)
34. "Development of a fast-solving numerical model for the structural analysis of cricket balls," N. Cheng, A. Subic, and M. Takla, *Sports Tech.* **1**, 132–144 (2008). (I)
35. "The effect of spin on the flight of a baseball," A. M. Nathan, *Am. J. Phys.* **76**, 119–124 (2008). (I)
36. "Influence of a humidifier on the aerodynamics of baseballs," E. R. Meyer and J. L. Bohn, *Am. J. Phys.* **76**, 1015–1021 (2008). (I)
37. "Paradoxical pop-ups: Why are they difficult to catch?," M. K. McBeath, A. M. Nathan, A. T. Bahill, and D. G. Baldwin, *Am. J. Phys.* **76**, 723–729 (2008). (I)
38. "Progress in measuring the performance of baseball and softball bats," L. Smith, *Sports Tech.* **1**, 291–299 (2008). (I)
39. "Scattering of a baseball by a bat," R. Cross and A. M. Nathan, *Am. J. Phys.* **74**, 896–904 (2006). (I)
40. "Characterizing the performance of baseball bats," A. M. Nathan, *Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 134–143 (2003). (I)
41. "How to hit home runs: Optimum baseball bat swing parameters for maximum range trajectories," G. S. Sawicki, M. Hubbard, and W. J. Stronge, *Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 1152–1162 (2003); see also the discussion in *Am. J. Phys.* **73**, 184–189 (2005). (I)
42. "Evaluating baseball bat performance," L. V. Smith, *Sports Eng.* **4**, 205–214 (2001). (I)
43. "The sweet spot of a baseball bat," R. Cross, *Am. J. Phys.* **66**, 772–779 (1998); see also the discussion in *Am. J. Phys.* **69**, 229–232 (2001). (I)
44. "Cricket ball aerodynamics: Myth vs science," R. D. Mehta, in **The Engineering of Sport: Research Development and Innovation**, edited by A. J. Subic and S. J. Haake (Blackwell Science, London, 2000), pp. 153–167. (I)
45. "Dynamics of the baseball–bat collision," A. M. Nathan, *Am. J. Phys.* **68**, 979–990 (2000). (I)
46. "The dynamic behaviour of cricket balls during impact and variations due to grass and soil type," M. J. Carré, S. W. Baker, A. J. Newell, and S. J. Haake, *Sports Eng.* **2**, 145–160 (1999). (I)
47. "Baseball: Pitching no-hitters," C. Frohlich, *Chance* **7** (3), 24–30 (1994). This isn't about physics, but uses methods familiar to physicists and applies them to a question about sports. (I)
48. "The dynamical theory of the baseball bat," L. Van Zandt, *Am. J. Phys.* **60**, 172–181 (1992). (A)
49. "Visual judgments and misjudgments in cricket, and the art of flight," D. Regan, *Perception* **21**, 91–115 (1992). (I)
50. "The effects of coefficient of restitution variations on long fly balls," D. T. Kagan, *Am. J. Phys.* **58**, 151–154 (1990). (I)
51. "Models of baseball bats," H. Brody, *Am. J. Phys.* **58**, 756–758 (1990). (I)
52. "Baseball-bat collisions and the resulting trajectories of spinning balls," R. G. Watts and S. Baroni, *Am. J. Phys.* **57**, 40–45 (1989). (I)
53. "The lateral force on a spinning sphere: Aerodynamics of a curveball," R. G. Watts and R. Ferrer, *Am. J. Phys.* **55**, 40–44 (1987). (I)
54. "Aerodynamics of a knuckleball," R. G. Watts and E. Sawyer, *Am. J. Phys.* **43**, 960–963 (1986). (I)
55. "The sweet spot of a baseball bat," H. Brody, *Am. J. Phys.* **54**, 640–643 (1986). (I)
56. "Looking into Chapman's homer: The physics of judging a fly ball," P. J. Brancazio, *Am. J. Phys.* **53**, 849–855 (1985). (I)
57. "Trajectory of a fly ball," P. J. Brancazio, *Phys. Teach.* **23**, 20–23 (1985). (I)
58. "Aerodynamic drag crisis and its possible effect on the flight of baseballs," C. Frohlich, *Am. J. Phys.* **52**, 325–334 (1984). (I)
59. "Why can't batters keep their eyes on the ball?," A. T. Bahill, *Am. Sci.* **72**, 249–253 (1984). (E)
60. "Aerodynamics of the cricket ball," R. D. Mehta and D. H. Wood, *New Sci.* **87**, 442–447 (1983).
61. "Aerodynamics of a knuckleball," R. G. Watts and E. Sawyer, *Am. J. Phys.* **43**, 960–963 (1975). (I)
62. "Catching a baseball," S. Chapman, *Am. J. Phys.* **36**, 868–870 (1968). (I)
63. "Batting the ball," P. Kirkpatrick, *Am. J. Phys.* **31**, 606–613 (1963). (E)
64. "An analysis of the aerodynamics of pitched baseballs," C. Selin, *Res. Q.* **30**, 232–240 (1959). (E)
65. "Effect of spin and speed on the lateral deflection (curve) of a baseball; and the Magnus effect for smooth spheres," L. J. Briggs, *Am. J. Phys.* **27**, 589–596 (1959). (I)

C. Basketball

66. **The Physics of Basketball**, J. J. Fontanella (Johns Hopkins U. P., Baltimore, 2006). This is an elementary treatment of basketball physics written from the perspective of a former small-college basketball player who now teaches college physics. (E)
67. **Physics of Sports (Basketball)**, P. C. Reddy (Ashish, Delhi, 1992). This book is somewhat disappointing, as there is little physics in it other than an extensive description of the author's investigations of shooting trajectories for college players in India. (E)
68. "Thump, ring: The sound of a bouncing ball," J. I. Katz, *Eur. J. Phys.* **31**, 849–856 (2010). (I)
69. "Dynamics of basketball-rim interactions," H. Okubo and M. Hubbard, *Sports Eng.* **7**, 15–29 (2004). (I)

- 70.** “Numerical analysis of the basketball shot,” L. Silverberg, C. Tran, and K. Adcock, *J. Dyn. Syst., Meas., Control* **125**, 531–540 (2003). (A)
- 71.** “Kinematics of the free throw in basketball,” A. Tan and G. Miller, *Am. J. Phys.* **49**, 542–544 (1981). (I)
- 72.** “Physics of basketball,” P. J. Brancazio, *Am. J. Phys.* **49**, 356–365 (1981). (I)
- D. Billiards and Pool**
- 73.** **Amateur Physics for the Amateur Pool Player (Third edition)**, R. Shepard (Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, 1997). This book is not directed “toward either the pool student or the physics student, but rather toward the amateur who enjoys both.” (I)
- 74.** **The Physics of Pocket Billiards**, W. C. Marlow (Marlow Advanced Systems Technologies, Palm Beach Gardens, 1994). The level of detail in this book about many aspects of the game of pool will be of interest only to a serious pool enthusiast and not to the casual physicist. (I)
- 75.** **Mathematical Theory of Spin, Friction, and Collision in the Game of Billiards**, G.-G. Coriolis (original French edition: Carillon-Goeury, Paris, France, 1835; English translation by D. Nadler, San Francisco, 2005). This is very technical, very mathematical, and of more historical than practical interest. This may be the earliest book-length monograph on sports physics. (A)
- 76.** “Cue and ball deflection (or ‘squirt’) in billiards,” R. Cross, *Am. J. Phys.* **76**, 205–212 (2008). (I)
- 77.** “Analysis of billiard ball collisions in two dimensions,” R. E. Wallace and M. C. Schroeder, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 815–819 (1988). (I)

E. Bowling

- 78.** “Design of an instrumented bowling ball and its application to performance analysis in tenpin bowling,” F. K. Fuss, *Sports Tech.* **2**, 97–110 (2009). (I)
- 79.** “What makes bowling balls hook?,” C. Frohlich, *Am. J. Phys.* **72**, 1170–1177 (2004). (I)
- 80.** “The trajectory of a ball in lawn bowls,” R. Cross, *Am. J. Phys.* **66**, 735–738 (1998). (I)
- 81.** “On the dynamics of a weighted bowling ball,” R. L. Huston, C. Passerello, J. M. Winget, and J. Sears, *J. Appl. Mech.* **46**, 937–943 (1979). (A)
- 82.** “Bowling frames: Paths of a bowling ball,” D. C. Hopkins and J. D. Patterson, *Amer. J. Phys.* **45**, 263–266 (1977). (I)

F. Cycling and Bicycles

- 83.** **Bicycling Science (Third edition)**, D. G. Wilson (MIT U. P., Cambridge, MA, 2004). This is a very readable review of the past, present, and future of many aspects of human-powered transportation from an engineering perspective. (I)
- 84.** **Bicycles and Tricycles: An Elementary Treatise on their Design and Construction**, A. Sharp (MIT U. P., Cambridge, MA, 1979; reprint of 1896 edition). Many consider this to be the first serious engineering-based analysis of bicycle design, tremendously influential in its own time and still of historical interest. (A)

- 85.** “Linearized dynamic equations for the balance and steer of a bicycle: A benchmark and review,” J. P. Meijaard, J. M. Papadopoulos, A. Ruina, and A. L. Schwab, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **463**, 1955–1982 (2007). (A)
- 86.** “Aerodynamics of a cycling team in a time trial: Does the cyclist at the front benefit?,” A. Íñiguez-de-la Torre and J. Íñiguez, *Eur. J. Phys.* **30**, 1365–1369 (2009). (E)
- 87.** “Cycling and the wind: Does sidewind brake?,” A. Íñiguez-de-la Torre and J. Íñiguez, *Eur. J. Phys.* **27**, 71–74 (2006). (I)
- 88.** “Inclined-plane model of the 2004 Tour de France,” B. L. Hannas and J. E. Goff, *Eur. J. Phys.* **26**, 251–259 (2005). (I)
- 89.** “Improving cycling performance with large sprockets,” S. C. Burgess, *Sports Eng.* **1**, 107–113 (1999). (I)
- 90.** “Determination of F_r and K_d from the solution of the equation of motion of a cyclist,” W. Hennekam and J. Bontsema, *Eur. J. Phys.* **12**, 59–63 (1991).
- 91.** “An advanced model of bicycle stability,” G. Franke, W. Suhr, and F. Riess, *Eur. J. Phys.* **11**, 116–121 (1990). (I)
- 92.** “Improving the racing bicycle,” C. R. Kyle and E. Burke, *Mech. Eng.* **106** (9), 34–45 (1984). (I)
- 93.** “The aerodynamics of human-powered land vehicles,” A. C. Gross, C. R. Kyle, and D. J. Malewicki, *Sci. Am.* **249** (6) 142–152 (1983). (E)
- 94.** “The stability of bicycles,” J. Lowell and H. D. McKell, *Am. J. Phys.* **50**, 1106–1112 (1982). (I)
- 95.** “Some nonexplanations of bicycle stability,” D. Kirshner, *Am. J. Phys.* **48**, 36–38 (1980). (E)
- 96.** “Reduction of wind resistance and power output of racing cyclists and runners traveling in groups,” C. R. Kyle, *Ergonomics* **22**, 387–397 (1979). (I)
- 97.** “Dynamics of a bicycle: Nongyroscopic aspects,” J. Liesgang and A. R. Lee, *Am. J. Phys.* **46**, 130–132 (1978). (I)
- 98.** “The stability of the bicycle,” D. E. H. Jones, *Phys. Today*, **23**(4), 34–40 (1970). (I)
- 99.** “The stability of the motion of a bicycle,” F. J. W. Whipple, *Q. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **30**, 312–348 (1899). (I)

G. Football (American), Rugby, and Soccer

- 100.** **The Physics of Rugby**, T. Lipscombe (Nottingham U. P., Nottingham, 2009). If one knows physics and has any interest in rugby, this book is worth owning, as there are just enough equations and the more detailed calculations appear as end notes to each chapter. The author makes many clever “rugby of physics” analogies, e.g., comparing defensive and offensive rugby positioning and activity to molecules in solids and gases, respectively, and some rugby plays to atomic decay processes. (E)
- 101.** **Soccernomics**, S. Kuper and S. Szymanski (Nation Books, Philadelphia, 2009). There is no physics in this book, but plenty of straightforward data analysis to debunk many commonly held beliefs about soccer. (E)
- 102.** **The Physics of Football: Discover the Science of Bone-Crunching Hits, Soaring Field Goals, and Awe-Inspiring Passes**, T. Gay (Harper, New York, 2005; also published as **Football Physics: The Science of the Game**, Rodale, Emmaus, PA, 2004). This book relates numerous football stories and addresses many aspects of football at a high-school physics level. The

- author holds the dubious distinction of having played football for Cal Tech. (E)
- 103.** **The Science of Soccer**, J. Wesson (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 2002). This book analyzes many aspects of the physics and culture of soccer without equations, but then presents the equations within a final chapter. (E)
- 104.** “Soccer ball lift coefficients via trajectory analysis,” J. E. Goff and M. J. Carré, *Eur. J. Phys.* **31**, 775–784 (2010). (I)
- 105.** “Sports ball aerodynamics: A numerical study of the erratic motion of soccer balls,” S. Barber, S. B. Chin, and M. J. Carré, *Comput. Fluids* **38**, 1091–1100 (2009). (A)
- 106.** “Fundamental aerodynamics of the soccer ball,” T. Asai, K. Seo, O. Kobayashi, and R. Sakashita, *Sports Eng.* **10**, 101–109 (2007). (I)
- 107.** Measuring and modeling the goalkeeper’s diving envelope in a penalty kick,” D. G. Kerwin and K. Bray, in **Engineering and Sport 6**, Vol. 1 (edited by E. F. Moritz and S. Haake), pp. 321–326 (2006). (I)
- 108.** “Flight dynamics of the screw kick in rugby,” K. Seo, O. Kobayashi, and M. Murakami, *Sports Eng.* **9**, 49–58 (2006). (I)
- 109.** “Understanding the effect of seams on the aerodynamics of an association football,” M. J. Carré, S. R. Goodwill, and S. J. Haake, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., Part C: J. Mech. Eng. Sci.* **219**, 657–666 (2005). (I)
- 110.** “Flight dynamics of an American football in a forward pass,” W. J. Rae, *Sports Eng.* **6**, 149–163 (2003). (I)
- 111.** “The drag force on an American football,” R. G. Watts and G. Moore, *Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 791–793 (2003). (I)
- 112.** “The curve kick of a football II: Flight through the air,” M. J. Carré, T. Asai, T. Akatsuka, and S. J. Haake, *Sports Eng.* **5**, 193–200 (2002). (I)
- 113.** “Wind-tunnel measurements of the aerodynamic loads on an American football,” W. J. Rae and R. J. Streit, *Sports Eng.* **5**, 165–172 (2002). (I)
- 114.** “Modeling the flight of a soccer ball in a direct free kick,” K. Bray and D. Kerwin, *J. Sports Sci.* **21**, 75–85 (2001). (I)
- 115.** “Collisions in soccer kicking,” T. B. Andersen, H. C. Dörge, and F. I. Thomsen, *Sports Eng.* **2**, 121–125 (1999). (I)
- 116.** “The physics of football,” T. Asai, T. Akatsuka, and S. Haake, *Phys. World* **11** (6), 25–27 (1998). (E)
- 117.** “Rigid-body dynamics of a football,” P. J. Brancazio, *Am. J. Phys.* **55**, 415–420 (1987). (I)
- 118.** “The physics of kicking a football,” P. J. Brancazio, *Phys. Teach.* **23**, 403–407 (1985). (E)
- 121.** “From the double pendulum model to full-body simulation: Evolution of golf swing modeling,” N. Betzler, S. Monk, E. Wallace, S. R. Otto, and G. Shan, *Sports Tech.* **1**, 175–188 (2008). (I)
- 122.** “Aerodynamics of golf ball,” A. J. Smits and S. Ogg, in **Biomedical Engineering Principles in Sports**, edited by G. K. Hung and J. M. Pallis (Kluwer Academic/Plenum, New York, 2004), pp. 333–364. (I)
- 123.** “The physics of golf,” A. R. Penner, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **66**, 131–171 (2003). (I)
- 124.** “The physics of golf: The convex face of a driver,” A. R. Penner, *Am. J. Phys.* **69**, 1073–1081 (2001). (I)
- 125.** “Dynamics of golf ball-hole interactions: Rolling around the rim,” M. Hubbard and T. Smith, *J. Dyn. Syst., Meas., Control* **121**, 88–95 (1999). (I)
- 126.** “Dynamic models of golf clubs,” M. I. Friswell, J. E. Mottershead, and M. G. Smart, *Sports Eng.* **1**, 41–50 (1998). (I)
- 127.** “The golf ball aerodynamics of Peter Guthrie Tait,” C. Denley and C. Prichard, *Math. Gaz.* **77**, 298–313 (1993). (I)
- 128.** “The physics of the drive in golf,” W. M. MacDonald and S. Hanzely, *Am. J. Phys.* **59**, 213–218 (1991). (I)
- 129.** “Putting: How a golf ball and hole interact,” B. W. Holmes, *Am. J. Phys.* **59**, 129–136 (1991). (I)
- 130.** “Maximum projectile range with drag and lift, with particular application to golf,” H. Erlichson, *Am. J. Phys.* **51**, 357–362 (1983). (I)
- 131.** “Golf ball aerodynamics,” P. W. Bearman and J. K. Harvey, *Aeronaut. Q.* **27**, 112–122 (1976). (I)
- 132.** “On the dynamics of the swing of a golf club,” T. Jorgensen, *Amer. J. Phys.* **38**, 644–651 (1970). (I)
- 133.** “The aerodynamics of golf balls,” J. M. Davies, *J. Appl. Phys.* **20**, 821–828 (1949). (I)
- 134.** “The dynamics of a golf ball,” J. J. Thomson, *Nature (London)* **85**, 251–257 (1910). (I)
- 135.** “On the path of a rotating spherical projectile,” P. G. Tait, *Trans. - R. Soc. Edinbrgh* **37**, 427–440 (1893). (I)

H. Golf

- 119.** **Science and Golf: I, II, III, IV, and V** (various editors and publishers). These proceedings volumes summarize papers presented on all aspects of golf at the World Scientific Congress on Golf held in 1990, 1994, 1998, 2002, and 2008. Physics is only one focus of these conferences but any physicist interested in golf research should be aware of these publications. (I)
- 120.** **The Physics of Golf (Second edition)**, T. P. Jorgensen (Springer Science+Business Media, Inc., New York). This book is aimed principally at golfers, although there are appendices that include equations and develop some physics arguments. The reference list is out-of-

date, with no references more recent than 1990. (E)

- I. Gymnastics**
- 136.** “Optimal control of nonholonomic motion planning for a free-falling cat,” X. Ge and L. Chen, *Appl. Math. Mech.* **28**, 601–607 (2007). (A)
- 137.** “The physics of twisting somersaults,” M. R. Yeadon, *Phys. World* **13** (9), 33–37 (2000). (E)
- 138.** “Angular momentum conservation and the cat twist,” J. R. Galli, *Phys. Teach.* **33**, 404–406 (1995). See also the website physics.weber.edu/galli/catflip/catflip.html (E)
- 139.** “The biomechanics of twisting somersaults, Parts I-IV,” M. R. Yeadon, *J. Sports Sci.* **11**, 187–225 (1993). (I)
- 140.** “Zero angular momentum turns,” M. H. Edwards, *Am. J. Phys.* **54**, 846–847 (1986). (E)
- 141.** “The physics of somersaulting and twisting,” C. Frohlich, *Sci. Am.* **242** (3), 154–164 (1980). (E)
- 142.** “Do springboard divers violate angular momentum conservation?,” C. Frohlich, *Am. J. Phys.* **47**, 583–592 (1979). (I)
- 143.** “A dynamic explanation of the falling cat phenomenon,” T. R. Kane and M. P. Scher, *Int. J. Solids Struct.* **5**, 663–670 (1969). (A)
- 144.** “Photographs of a tumbling cat,” Editor, *Nature (London)* **51**, 80–81 (1894). (E)

J. Tennis and Racket Sports

- 145. The Physics and Technology of Tennis**, H. Brody, R. Cross, and C. Lindsey (USRSA, Solana Beach, 2002). Brody and Cross are physicists who have published widely on sports and Lindsey edits tennis magazines. This book is aimed not at researchers but at serious tennis players with a technical bent who wish to improve their game. (E/I)
- 146. Tennis Science & Technology**, edited by S. J. Haake and A. O. Coe (Blackwell, Oxford, U.K., 2000); **Tennis Science & Technology 2**, edited by S. Miller (International Tennis Federation, London, U.K., 2003); and **Tennis Science & Technology 3**, edited by S. Miller and J. Capel-Davies (International Tennis Federation, London, U.K., 2007). These are collections of papers from the first three International Congresses on Tennis Science and Technology that met in London, U.K., in 2000, 2003, and 2007. They provide essential background for anyone seriously interested in tennis research. (I)
- 147.** “Impact of a ball on a surface with tangential compliance,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **78**, 716–720 (2010). (I)
- 148.** “Review of tennis ball aerodynamics,” R. Mehta, F. Alam, and A. Subic, Sports Tech. **1**, 7–16 (2008). (I)
- 149.** “Bounce of a spinning ball near normal incidence,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **73**, 914–920 (2005). (I)
- 150.** “A double pendulum swing experiment: In search of a better bat,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **73**, 330–339 (2005). (I)
- 151.** “Increase in friction force with sliding speed,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **73**, 812–816 (2005). (I)
- 152.** “Center of percussion of hand-held implements,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **72**, 622–630 (2004). (I)
- 153.** “The aerodynamics of a tennis ball,” R. D. Mehta and J. M. Pallis, Sports Eng. **4**, 177–189 (2001). (I)
- 154.** “The coefficient of restitution for collisions of happy balls, unhappy balls, and tennis balls,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **68**, 1025–1031 (2000). (I)
- 155.** “An overview of tennis ball aerodynamics,” A. J. Cooke, Sports Eng. **3**, 123–129 (2000). (I)
- 156.** “Impact of a ball with a bat or racket,” R. Cross, Am. J. Phys. **67**, 692–702 (1999). (I)
- 157.** “Dynamic properties of tennis balls,” R. Cross, Sports Eng. **1**, 23–33 (1999). (I)
- 158.** “Shuttlecock aerodynamics,” A. J. Cooke, Sports Eng. **2**, 85–96 (1999). (I)
- 159.** “The sweet spots of a tennis racket,” R. C. Cross, Sports Eng. **1**, 63–78 (1999). (I)
- 160.** “The centre of percussion of tennis rackets: a concept of limited applicability,” H. Hatze, Sports Eng. **1**, 17–25 (1998). (A)
- 161.** “The aerodynamics of tennis balls: The topspin lob,” A. Stepanek, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 138–142 (1988). (I)
- 162.** “A mechanical analysis of a special class of rebound phenomena,” J. L. Andrews, Med. Sci. Sports Exercise **15**, 256–266 (1983). (A)
- 163.** “Physics of the tennis racket II: The ‘sweet spot’,” H. Brody, Am. J. Phys. **48**, 816–819 (1981). (I)
- 164.** “Terminal velocity of a shuttlecock in free fall,” M. Pastrel, R. Lynch, and A. Armenti, Am. J. Phys. **48**, 511–513 (1980). (E)
- 165.** “Physics of the tennis racket,” H. Brody, Am. J. Phys. **47**, 482–487 (1979). (I)

- 166.** “On the irregular flight of a tennis ball,” J. W. Strutt (Lord Rayleigh), Messenger Math. **7**, 14–16 (1877). (I)
- 167.** “A letter of Mr. Isaac Newton, professor of the mathematics in the University of Cambridge, containing his new theory about light and colors,” I. Newton, Phil. Trans. **6**, 3075–3087 (1671). (I)

K. Track and Field

- 168. Competition Rules 2010–2011**, (International Association of Athletics Federations, Monaco, 2009). If you are considering research concerning track and field, you should know the rules governing international competitions and field implements. This rule book is available in hardback but downloadable free online. (E)
- 169. Mechanics of Athletics (Eighth edition)**, G. H. G. Dyson (Holmes & Meier, New York, 1986). The approach here is very elementary and the focus is mostly on track and field. Even though it was republished in numerous editions, the references were never up-to-date. However, it is of historical interest as a classic biomechanics textbook, familiar to generations of kinesiology and physical-education students. (E)
- 170.** “The force, power, and energy of the 100 meter sprint,” O. Helene and M. T. Yamashita, Am. J. Phys. **78**, 307–309 (2010). (I)
- 171.** “Giving students the run of sprinting models,” A. Heck and T. Ellermeijer, Am. J. Phys. **77**, 1028–1038 (2009). (I)
- 172.** “Optimal discus trajectories,” M. Hubbard and K. B. Cheng, J. Biomech. **40**, 3650–3659 (2007). (A)
- 173.** “Shot-put kinematics,” R. DeLuca, Eur. J. Phys. **26**, 1031–1036 (2005). (I)
- 174.** “A unified model for the long and high jump,” O. Helene and M. T. Yamashita, Am. J. Phys. **73**, 906–908 (2005). (I)
- 175.** “Influence of environmental factors on shot put and hammer throw range,” F. Mizera and G. Horvath, J. Biomech. **35**, 785–796 (2002). (I)
- 176.** “Physics of the long jump,” A. Tan and J. Zumerchik, Phys. Teac. **38**, 147–149 (2000). (E)
- 177.** “The design optimization of poles for pole vaulting,” S. C. Burgess, in **The Engineering of Sport**, edited by S. Haake (Balkema, Rotterdam, 1996), pp. 83–90. (I)
- 178.** “The biomechanics of javelin throwing: A review,” R. M. Bartlett and R. J. Best, J. Sports Sci. **6**, 1–38 (1988). (I)
- 179.** “Optimum release conditions for the new rules javelin,” M. Hubbard and L. W. Alaways, Int. J. Sport Biomechanics **3**, 207–221, (1987). (I)
- 180.** “Effect of wind and altitude on record performance in foot races, pole vault, and long jump,” C. Frohlich, Am. J. Phys. **53**, 726–730 (1985). (E)
- 181.** “A mathematical analysis of the influence of adverse and favourable winds on sprinting,” A. J. Ward-Smith, J. Biomech. **18**, 351–357 (1985). (I)
- 182.** “A mathematical theory of running, based on the first law of thermodynamics, and its application to the performance of world-class athletes,” A. J. Ward-Smith, J. Biomech. **18**, 337–349 (1985). (I)
- 183.** “Javelin dynamics with measured lift, drag, and pitching moments,” M. Hubbard and H. J. Rust, J. Appl. Mech. **51**, 406–408 (1984). (I)
- 184.** “Optimum javelin trajectories,” M. Hubbard, J. Bio-

- mech. **17**, 777–787 (1984). (I)
- 185.** “The influence of aerodynamic and biomechanical factors on long jump performance,” A. J. Ward-Smith, *J. Biomech.* **16**, 655–658 (1983). (I)
- 186.** “Aerodynamic effects on discus flight,” C. Frohlich, *Am. J. Phys.* **49**, 1125–1132 (1981). (I)
- 187.** “Physics of sprinting,” I. Alexandro and P. Lucht, *Am. J. Phys.* **49**, 254–257 (1981). (I)
- 188.** “Clearing maximum height with constrained kinetic energy,” M. Hubbard and J. C. Trinkle, *J. Appl. Mech.* **52**, 179–184 (1979). (I)
- 189.** “The influence of track compliance on running,” T. A. McMahon and P. R. Greene, *J. Biomech.* **12**, 893–904 (1979). (I)
- 190.** “Fast running racks,” T. A. McMahon and P. R. Greene, *Sci. Am.* **239** (6), 148–163 (1978). (E)
- 191.** “Maximizing the range of the shot put,” D. B. Lichtenberg and J. G. Wills, *Am. J. Phys.* **46**, 546–549 (1978). (I)
- 192.** “The dynamics of the discus throw,” T.-C. Soong, *J. Appl. Mech.* **43**, 531–536 (1976). (A)
- 193.** “The dynamics of the javelin throw,” T.-C. Soong, *J. Appl. Mech.* **42**, 257–262 (1975). (A)
- 194.** “The influence of wind resistance in running and walking and the mechanical efficiency of work against horizontal or vertical forces,” L. G. C. E. Pugh, *J. Physiology (London)* **213**, 255–276 (1971). (I)
- 195.** “Aerodynamic and mechanical forces in discus flight,” R. V. Ganslen, *Athletic J.* **68** (4), 50, 68, 88 (1964). (I)
- 196.** “Bad physics in athletic measurement,” P. Kirkpatrick, *Am. J. Phys.* **12**, 7–12 (1944). (E)
- 197.** “Behavior of a discus in flight,” J. A. Taylor, *Athletic J.* **12** (4), 9, 45 (1932). (E)

L. Water Sports

- 198.** **Physics of Sailing**, J. Kimball (CRC, Boca Raton, 2010). This book communicates the author’s love of sailing small boats and the physics endemic to the pastime. (E/I)
- 199.** “Rowing and the same-sum problem have their moments,” J. D. Barrow, *Am. J. Phys.* **78**, 728–732 (2010). (I)
- 200.** “How does buoyancy influence front-crawl performance? Exploring the assumptions,” T. Yanai and B. D. Wilson, *Sports Tech.* **1**, 89–99 (2008). (I)
- 201.** “The physics of sailing,” B. D. Anderson, *Phys. Today* **61** (2), 38–43, (2008). (E)
- 202.** “The physics of stone skipping,” L. Bocquet, *Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 150–155 (2003). (I)
- 203.** “Surf physics,” R. Edge, *Phys. Teach.* **39**, 272–277 (2001). (E).
- 204.** “Hydrodynamics makes a splash,” H. Takagi and R. Sanders, *Phys. World* **13**(9), 39–43 (2000). (E)
- 205.** “Equilibrium sailing velocities,” G. C. Goldenbaum, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 209–215 (1988). (I)
- 206.** “Why sliding seats and short stroke intervals are used for racing shells,” M. Senator, *J. Biomech. Eng.* **103**, 151–159 (1981). (I)
- 207.** “On the dynamics of men and boats and oars,” D. L. Pope, in **Mechanics and Sport, AMD Vol. 4**, edited by J. L. Bleustein, (American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1973), pp. 113–130. (A)
- 208.** “Some hydrodynamic aspects of rowing,” J. F. Welli-

come, in **Rowing—A Scientific Approach**, edited by J. G. P. Williams, A. C. Scott, and J. F. Wellcome (A. S. Barnes, New York, 1967), pp. 22–63. (I)

M. Winter Sports and Hockey

- 209.** **Physics of Hockey**, A. Haché (Johns Hopkins U. P., Baltimore, 2002). This is one of the better elementary “Physics of ...” books—an engaging discussion of hockey and the physics within. (E)
- 210.** **The Physics of Skiing: Skiing at the Triple Point**, D. Lind and S. P. Sanders (Springer Verlag, New York, 1996). This charming book provides information about the physics of skiing and the properties of snow, aimed at both the physicist who wants to learn about skiing and the skier who will tolerate some science. (E)
- 211.** “Mechanics of flight in ski jumping: Aerodynamic stability in pitch,” P. Marques-Bruna and P. Grimshaw, *Sports Tech.* **2**, 24–31 (2009). (I)
- 212.** “Mechanics of flight in ski jumping: Aerodynamic stability in roll and yaw,” P. Marques-Bruna and P. Grimshaw, *Sports Tech.* **2**, 111–120 (2009). (I)
- 213.** “Safer ski jump landing surface design limits normal impact velocity,” M. Hubbard, **Skiing Trauma and Safety**, Vol. **17** (STP1510), 175–183 (2009). (I)
- 214.** “A cool sport full of physics,” A. Haché, *Phys. Teach.* **46**, 398–402, (2008). (E)
- 215.** “Frictional heat generated by sweeping in curling and its effect on ice friction,” B. A. Marmo, I. S. Farrow, M.-P. Buckingham, and J. R. Blackford, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., Part L* **220**, 189–197 (2006). (I)
- 216.** “Friction in the sport of curling,” B. A. Marmo and J. R. Blackford, Fifth International Sports Engineering Conference, Davis, CA, Vol. 1, 379–385 (2004). (I)
- 217.** “Performance-determining factors in speed skating,” J. J. DeKoning and G. J. van Ingen Scenau, in **Biomechanics in Sport, Vol. IX of the Encyclopaedia of Sports Medicine**, edited by V. M. Zatsiorsky (Blackwell, Oxford, U.K., 2000), pp. 232–246. (E)
- 218.** “Sliding temperatures of ice skates,” S. C. Colbeck, L. Najarian, and H. B. Smith, *Am. J. Phys.* **65**, 488–492 (1997). (I)
- 219.** “Pressure melting and ice skating,” S. C. Colbeck, *Am. J. Phys.* **63**, 888–890 (1995). (I)
- 220.** “A review of the friction of snow skis,” S. C. Colbeck, *J. Sports Sci.* **12**, 285–295 (1994). (I)
- 221.** “On the motion of an ice hockey puck,” K. Voyenli and E. Eriksen, *Am. J. Phys.* **83**, 1149–1153 (1985); see also *Am. J. Phys.* **74**, 82–83 (2006). (I)
- 222.** “Biomechanics of optimal flight in ski-jumping,” L. P. Remizov, *J. Biomech.* **17**, 167–171 (1984). (I)
- 223.** “Numerical evaluation of the flight mechanics and trajectory of a ski jumper,” A. J. Ward-Smith and D. Clements, *Acta Appl. Math.* **1**, 301–314 (1983). (I)
- 224.** “The influence of air friction in speed skating,” G. J. van Ingen Shenau, *J. Biomech.* **15**, 449–458 (1982). (I)
- 225.** “The physics of ski turns,” J. I. Shonle and D. L. Norrich, *Phys. Teach.* **10**, 491–497 (1972). (E)

N. General and Miscellaneous

- 226.** **The Physics of NASCAR**, D. Leslie-Pelecky (Dutton, New York, 2008). This book discusses various scientific or engineering matters important for stock car rac-

- ing, but the physics is at such an elementary level that it will disappoint most scientists. (E)
- 227.** **Physics and the Art of Dance**, K. Laws (Oxford U. P., New York, 2002). This book contains quite detailed descriptions of many well-known dance movements but, alas, not very much physics. It is aimed at dancers rather than physicists. (E)
- 228.** “The streetboard rider: An appealing problem in non-holonomic mechanics,” J. Janova and J. Musilova, *Eur. J. Phys.* **31**, 333–345 (2010). (I)
- 229.** “Tic-tac: Accelerating a skateboard from rest without touching an external support,” M. Kunesch and A. Usunov, *Eur. J. Phys.* **31**, S25–S36 (2010). (I)
- 230.** “Effect of diameter on the aerodynamics of sepak-takraw balls, a computational study,” T. Zahari, Sugiyono, *Int. J. Sports Sci. Eng.* **3**, 17–21 (2009). (I)
- 231.** Performance versus moment of inertia of sporting implements,” R. Cross and A. M. Nathan, *Sports Tech.* **2**, 7–15 (2009). (I)
- 232.** “Influence of garment design on elite athlete cooling,” M. Tate, D. Forster, and D. E. Mainwaring, *Sports Tech.* **1**, 117–124 (2008). (I)
- 233.** “Swordplay: An exercise in rotational dynamics,” M. Denny, *Eur. J. Phys.* **27**, 943–950 (2006). (E)
- 234.** “Bungee jump model with increased stretch-prediction accuracy,” J. W. Kockelman and M. Hubbard, *Sports Eng.* **8**, 159–170 (2005). (I)
- 235.** “Physics of overarm throwing,” R. Cross, *Am. J. Phys.* **72**, 305–312 (2004). (I)
- 236.** “Dynamics of spear throwing,” R. A. Baugh, *Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 345–350 (2003). (I)
- 237.** “Grip-slip behavior of a bouncing ball,” R. Cross, *Am. J. Phys.* **70**, 1093–1102 (2002). (I)
- 238.** “Bounce of hollow balls on flat surfaces,” M. Hubbard and W. J. Stronge, *Sports Eng.* **4**, 49–61 (2001). (I)
- 239.** “The flight of sports projectiles,” M. Hubbard, in **Bio-mechanics in Sport, Vol. IX** of the **Encyclopedia of Sports Medicine**, edited by V. M. Zatsiorsky (Blackwell, Oxford, U.K., 2000), pp. 381–400. (E)
- 240.** “Physics, technology and the Olympics,” S. Haake, *Phys. World* **13** (9), 29–32 (2000). (E)
- 241.** “Physics of volleyball: Spiking with a purpose,” F. Behroozi, *Phys. Teach.* **36**, 280–281 (1998). (E)
- 242.** “A simple theoretical model of a bungee jump,” J. Strnad, *Eur. J. Phys.* **18**, 388–391 (1997). (I)
- 243.** “The greater-than- g acceleration of a bungee jumper,” D. Kagan and A. Kott, *Phys. Teach.* **34**, 368–373 (1996). (E)
- 244.** “An important question about rock climbing,” G. Reali and L. Stefanini, *Eur. J. Phys.* **17**, 348–352 (1996). (E)
- 245.** “The physics of bungee jumping,” P. G. Menz, *Phys. Teach.* **31** 483–487 (1993). (E)
- 246.** “The physics of fly casting,” J. M. Robson, *Am. J. Phys.* **58**, 234–240 (1990). (I)
- 247.** “Note on the aerodynamics of a flyline,” S. Lingard, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 756–757 (1988). (I)
- 248.** “Vortex-induced dynamic loads on a non-spinning volleyball,” Q. Wei, R. Lin, and Z. Liu, *Fluid Dyn. Res.* **3**, 231–237 (1988). (I)
- 249.** “The mechanics of flycasting: The flyline,” G. A. Spolek, *Am. J. Phys.* **54**, 832–836 (1986). (I)
- 250.** “Aerodynamics of sports balls” R. Mehta, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **17**, 151–189 (1985). (I)
- 251.** “Lateral dynamics and stability of the skateboard,” M. Hubbard, *J. Appl. Mech.* **46**, 931–936 (1979). (I)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This Resource Letter was vastly improved in response to comments on an earlier draft by Rod Cross, John Eric Goff, Mont Hubbard, Alan Nathan, Jean Francois Van Huele, and Colin White.